

Ignorance goes hand by hand

Abstract

In fact, the philosophy is the same (hands ignorance). The love of wisdom, desire and tendency to play and distance search. The purpose of philosophy removal of any (or the elimination of waste) or is not wise, but as the purpose of the philosopher Pythagoras pointed out. The fact that no one is wise philosopher of science. So philosophy can be considered a failure. Much anxiety that person or that person something important to aim at male dam (relevant self-important) to lose. Because it still tends to mean that a person wants to reach its goal. In fact, no one wants to achieve, to reach his goal. In other words, nothing to it. In this sense philosophy is just the opposite of science. The failure of philosophy academic subject and purpose of it is lack of knowledge. Philosophy is a discipline that a person knows it. In other words, knowing that it knew nothing. Nicholas explains that this condition is actually ignorance go hand in hand. Nicholas (from 1400 to 1464) after holding various diplomatic positions for the Vatican. He said that knowledge makes the man reached into thinking that there is no knowledge. In fact, the more we know the more we feel that we have no idea. Knowing the science, rational movement of our current understanding of the latest science and knowledge, or the knowledge that so far, the endless infinity. If the distance is infinite then you can never their goal (which is the end of knowledge) not reach infinity, and since that is uncertain in other words not end so never last point of knowledge will be missed. We will never be able to understand God's truth will never be so. Perhaps only a small part of it or superficial layer of knowledge (truth) but never reach your ultimate goal, which is to know all the science and knowledge, was not. So the more we know we are more aware than ever to his goal or not we find the truth. So it is the ignorance go hand in hand. Nicholas is in fact the negation and denial of the doctrine of common growth and an increase in knowledge pays.

Introduction

Our thinking generally is that of ignorance or neglect start and with much hard work and proper use of reasoning to obtain knowledge. Nicholas began to see that everything we think we know but little study and reasoning power we realized that in fact we do not know. The order of rationality, knowing margin of rationality makes science so that we can think to science or the truth (God) but since we are able to know that we do not and cannot to achieve a comprehensive understanding of the full and hence always deceived. In fact, the truth is always impossible to achieve greater capacity and ability to think we are. So it should be beyond the power

of your mind to know. This is the able to evaluate a firm's current knowledge of the conditions of the offer. Our culture is dominated by science and technology to provide a high level of knowledge that with which we can use to manage your world. We reveal the secrets and unspoken build something that is in fact derived from our public opinion. The question is, if indeed achievable and control and we knew that we have achieved all the knowledge in that case, according to better Heidegger was not the name of it instead of the truth to know the truth and was thought of thorough? Or rather it is thought to account for the count? In that case we would not like that two friends who had succeeded to all the knowledge in the books already exist in the world, achieve? The story of two friends Flabert with two Bivard name and Pekuojet (1976) has learned. In this book after taking two vendors were winning a lot of money and decided to return to the state spent all his life learning their knowledge. So many books to read and all that it had learned that the working group. Of course, this wonderful book is ridiculous and kind of disaster involved in the disaster. Although these two champions were able to achieve but Flabert all the knowledge contained in this book shows a certain kind of stupidity that I have to as a "stupidity learn" I remember this stupidity symbol of our culture, in other words manifested in our culture. The idea that we know everything because that science and technology is precisely the growth of this thinking. As Nicholas referred to as illiterate person that thinks he knows everything, but in fact knows nothing. This stupidity arises when a person thinks and ensures that everything knows and is not willing to accept this lack of knowledge is in fact so his ignorance (ignorance go hand in hand) continues .

Sofia

Philosophy is not science. The aim of science to God and to gather knowledge for a domain of knowledge. The purpose of amassing and increase existing knowledge learned and, therefore, is to manage and control knowledge. Indeed science knowledge related to specific areas of the science and knowledge have chosen, put them together and adds to existing knowledge and other sciences that is not associated with the department remove. This knowledge grows and grows. The more that needs to be added to our knowledge this is that you must own world are increasing day by day. Science and knowledge is growing, which means that increased knowledge in a particular field increased the need for more science than ever before in the show itself. Existing knowledge is infinite and day-to-day discoveries and new science and knowledge will be added to existing knowledge.

Philosophy is not the word of the Lord Sophia and love it. Philosophy is a feeling of love so some kind of action is ambiguous or multi-regional. Philosophy does not

accept any growth. As falling into the trap of love does not have the time or less, philosophy like that it cannot be said that the growth we have witnessed in philosophy. The philosophy is like that every day something new is being new. Any time that passes, the amount is added back to the same place that we've been just like Sisyphus as much as he wanted to rock climbing that hill tops of his feet slipped from under the rocks to reach the top again and he was forced to start from the beginning again. Philosophy is an effort that goes beyond what is known and obvious but in fact the philosophy of science and knowledge between the two Haven puts the (vain science increases while at the same spot I have) to this and each time point that we have no idea what brought us to this conclusion that we have no knowledge. So after many efforts to defeat the encounter.

The philosophy is still the same problems that Pythagoras would have had problems dealing and none of these problems not been resolved and will not solve. In other words you cannot say that there is no body of knowledge in philosophy. Research and read a lot of famous names shows that have used the method of philosophy, but philosophy is based on two important one too much force and power of our ideas and thinking of philosophers and other inherent tendency of the philosophy. In philosophy may have thoughts of particular interest or not. Some ideas may not be comprehensive thinkers and we respected philosopher influenced by the transparency and depth of our thoughts of some of them, however none of them will not tell the truth. In case none of the ancient Greek philosophical questions that have been raised have not been able to solve them and find an answer for them. It is impossible to solve these questions because they are questions of questions involved in other words questions have questions.

After this wondrous ability of the philosophy that makes it reach the ultimate goal being the full knowledge of the matter is, we failed. Start over again makes the fragility of ideas philosophy is quite the eye or in other words ideas deadlocked eat and where not to work. This gives the necessary and sufficient in terms of accuracy, so we can be more successful in philosophy. There is no prior and posterior in philosophy and the philosopher must start over and pay back to the questions. Of course, that philosophy thanks to the writings of the philosopher (i.e. the philosophy of the writings of other philosophers are grateful uses while) but it (the resumption of philosophy) is a philosophy realistic option or choice of is that it shows the majesty and grandeur. So philosophy in it (restart) evokes an aesthetic aspect is very strong. The philosophy of our work or not? Our feelings are hurt or not and we will rise to a novel perspective or not? The answer to all of these questions is yes. The philosophy of good sense and gives us joy and happiness as well as about the texts Barteaux explains:

The desirable text: text that contains a sense of joy and a sense of achievement and victory is also transmitted to us, the text that comes from our culture and not contradicted it easy reading is associated with the practice. Text compassionate or sad: the text that represents the state of lack or shortage of something is, the context in which a particular topic is uncomfortable, textual assumptions or speculation psychological, cultural, and historical and survival trends, values and memories of the troubled singer and his connection with languages brought to the boiling point.

In both cases (and texts) Communication between them is a sense that the present world is intelligent sensitivity (the sensitivity of these texts automatically or shows the clever they are to be present world leads to). Some theories emphasize the belief I have in this world. And others are negated and denied my faith, and I doubt I'll fall on our belief as well as changes in the type of view of the issues and beliefs. Philosophical writings not only for readers but also for the authors of the aesthetic aspect. Each philosopher certain kind of sense of love to the aesthetic arts is actually a fine art and amateur-friendly, through the love of Sophia, it is a good sense of pity and joy arrives or vice versa. A philosopher as well as for "direct and tough talking" is an amateur. It is a kind of frank speaking it is never a philosopher of the first stage not be defeated in philosophy, in other words, from the beginning of his work is sophisticated. So philosophical texts always have a unique composition that is typical of such texts are willing.

In this context, there is actually a trial and test and evaluation is the French word "essay" gives meaning and can be placed styles. The best replacement for his philosophy is the philosophy that is the best answer to the philosophy of using the same method philosophy. The philosophy is the philosophical showed the immense power. As Hyderberg also notes sentences for philosophical hypotheses shape in the form of questions, though do not ask questions such as questions or not.

Philosophy as a fact and a long stretch that cannot be achieved any time is always the opposite of the notion of distance is infinite and endless Nicholas. So, in fact, never be said that something is truth. Each philosophical including transient and temporary and used only at that moment. Even if it is meaningful philosophical work (B) be in any position of power and have the words used, it will be for the moment. Although it seems that an attempt to uncover the truth and philosophical terms and conditions at any time used, but in fact some of the applications in the present moment when we study ancient Greek philosophical texts to conclude such texts are very transient and temporary, and currently there is no possibility of their use. In fact, this type of literature to look for logical truth, to respond to comments and

questions from all generations. In fact, it's what the Greeks referred to as knowledge or popular theory of truth and true science.

Conclusion

Yet we are able to achieve true philosophical sentences are not clear, however, always trying to find the truth They feature or aspect of transient and temporary and hence one can change it, for a certain period of time in now a philosophy to create and raise new questions and philosophical content. Since a certain material and are not endless, so as to discuss and explain and Interpretation. Although some of the firm sentences and court advantage and can get their splendor and grandeur, however, imposing a philosopher, depends on the strength of his claim is praise and magnificence. I hope it is the philosophical content to reach this level of greatness and glory but these texts do not have such features. Although the content may be bestowed certain view, or lead to new insights and perceptions of them and I may even have their statements excitement or joy, clarity and eloquence, sense of anxiety and discomfort, cognition and other coordinates, but never has the features and accuracy to reach the truth. Philosophy does not show the truth, but the discussion is inevitably reflected in the sense that the show does not end argument and debate any time.